

# **Malpractice Policy (Exams)**

Langley Park School for Girls

# **Malpractice Policy (Exams)**

Centre name	Langley Park School for Girls
Centre number	14257
Date policy first created	20/11/2023
Current policy approved by	Governing Body
Current policy reviewed by	Sarah McAleer
Date of review	12/12/2024
Date of next review	Spring 2026

# Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Steve Whittle
Senior leader(s)	Emma Ashman-Clark
Exams officer	Sarah McAleer
Other staff (if applicable)	

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at Langley Park School for Girls is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice**: **Policies and Procedures**.

#### Introduction

#### What is malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- · gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- · compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

#### **Candidate malpractice**

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

#### Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

### **Suspected malpractice**

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident migh be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 19). (SMPP 2)

## Purpose of the policy

To confirm Langley Park School for Girls:

has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which
covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to
avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be
escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use
of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what
AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

# **General principles**

In accordance with the regulations Langley Park School for Girls will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice
  (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document Suspected Malpractice Policies and Procedures and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably
  require (GR 5.11)

#### **Preventing malpractice**

Langley Park School for Girls has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the
  requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding
  body guidance:
  - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2024-2-25
  - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2024-2025
  - Instructions for conducting coursework 2024-2025
  - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2024-2025
  - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2024-2025
  - A guide to the special consideration process 2024-2025
  - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2024-2025 (this document)
  - · Plagiarism in Assessments
  - · Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
  - Post Results Services June 2024 and November 2024
  - A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2024-2025

(SMPP 3.3.1)

Additional information:

Not applicable

#### Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

Candidates are regularly informed by Heads of Key Stage, Heads of Department, subject teachers and the exams office team to avoid committing malpractice in in examinations and assessments.

Before the commencement of any coursework or NEA (non-examination assessment) required for a qualification, candidates are specifically briefed about the risks of malpractice by their Head of Subject and subject teachers. This includes the acknowledgement of AI (artificial intelligence) and the dangers of plagiarism, and reminds students that they will need to demonstrate that all work is their own.

Before each series of formally invigilated mocks and public exams, candidate cohorts attend exam assemblies and briefings where they are reminded of the rules surrounding their conduct in examinations. Candidates are also sent written details of all necessary JCQ 'information for candidates' documents before being entered for examinations. They are additionally provided with a student handbook outlining LPGS guidelines and exam protocols. The policies and JCQ documents are available to parents on the school website. Additional notices to students throughout the academic year are provided as necessary on Firefly (the LPGS virtual learning environment).

#### Al use in assessments

Students complete the majority of their exams and a large number of other assessments under close staff supervision with limited access to authorised materials and no permitted access to the internet. The delivery of these assessments should be unaffected by developments in Al tools, since students must not be able to use such tools when completing these assessments.

There are some assessments in which access to the internet is permitted in the preparatory, research or production stages. The majority of these assessments will be Non-Examined Assessments (NEAs), coursework and internal assessments for general qualifications (GQs) and vocational & technical qualifications (VTQs). JCQ's guidance *Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications* is followed in relation to these assessments.

Additional JCQ resources are also used to help everyone prevent Al misuse, and to better understand the rules:

Information Sheet for Teachers
Senior Leader Presentation for Teachers
Poster for Students
Teacher Presentation for Students

# Identification and reporting of malpractice

#### **Escalating suspected malpractice issues**

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

Suspected malpractice in coursework/NEA is raised with the Head of Department/Faculty in the first instance and reported immediately to the Exams Officer and Head of Centre. Any other type of malpractice is reported directly to the Exams Officer and Head of Centre. Other pastoral or senior staff (e.g. Head of Key Stage, Deputy Head, CEO of Impact Trust) may be involved, depending on the nature of the concern.

#### Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or
  actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and
  gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document Suspected
  Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a
  malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress
  of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non- examination

assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication does not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)

- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Additional information:

Not applicable

# **Communicating malpractice decisions**

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information:

No applicable

# Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Langley Park School for Girls will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes

In accordance with the guidance, Langley Park School for Girls will not submit an appeal to the awarding bodies on the grounds that:

- the individual did not intend to cheat or breach exam regulations;
- the individual has an unblemished academic record;
- the individual could lose a FEI/HEI place or employment;
- the individual regrets his/her actions

since these do not, by themselves, constitute grounds for an appeal.

## **Changes 2024/2025**

Under headings **What is malpractice**, **Candidate malpractice**, **Suspected Malpractice** amended to reflect slight wording changes in SMPP.

Under heading **Purpose of the policy**: To confirm Langley Park School for Girls: has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body

(Amended to reflect the change in GR 5.3) To confirm Langley Park School for Girls: has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice)

Under heading **General Principles**, bullet point amended to reflect the change in GR 5.11: take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after-examinations assessments have taken place

Under heading **Preventing Malpractice**: Updated the list of JCQ documents.

Under the heading Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments updated the prompt in the insert field to: Detail the process in your centre which confirms how, when and by whom candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments. Describe the process and also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice). Confirm when this takes place and include the name(s) and/or role(s) of those staff involved in briefing candidates.

## **Centre-specific changes**

None applicable upon review in 2024